

**From:** [Jack Pringle](#)  
**To:** [Besley, Sharon](#); [Hall, Roger](#); [Grube-Lybarker, Carri](#); [Heather Smith](#); [Pittman, Jenny](#); [fellerbe@robinsongray.com](mailto:fellerbe@robinsongray.com)  
**Cc:** [Wessinger-Hill, JoAnne](#); [PSC Contact](#)  
**Subject:** [External] Docket 2020-263-E, Cherokee County Cogeneration Partners, LLC, Complainant/Petitioner v. Duke Energy Progress, LLC, and Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC, Defendant/Respondent- Proposed Plan for Hearing  
**Date:** Friday, July 23, 2021 10:57:49 AM  
**Attachments:** [image090897.PNG](#)  
[image1c838a.PNG](#)  
[image54b8cd.PNG](#)  
[imagee73229.PNG](#)  
[image34d7cf.PNG](#)  
[image8ffdc3.PNG](#)

---

Sharon- I wanted to provide you with a proposal for the logistics for Monday's hearing. I have discussed these matters with counsel for Duke Energy and ORS. Those counsel may have comments on the below.

1. Opening Statements. While the time limits/guidelines for the length of an opening is still being discussed by Duke and Cherokee, Cherokee would propose that counsel be allowed to make brief opening statements.
2. Witnesses. As mentioned previously, Cherokee (as Petitioner) has filed direct and rebuttal testimony for Mr. Hanson and Mr. Strunk. Cherokee proposes that these witnesses present their direct and rebuttal testimonies separately. I have discussed this proposal with counsel for Duke Energy, and Duke Energy does not object. As a result, this is the witness order proposed by Cherokee:
  - a. Cherokee Direct (Hanson, Strunk)
  - b. Duke Direct/Responsive (Bowman, Keen, Freund, Snider).
  - c. ORS Direct/Responsive (Hipp)
  - d. Cherokee Rebuttal (Hanson, Strunk)
3. Cross-examination/impeachment exhibits (following the procedure established by the Commission)
  - each cross exhibit must be provided in hard copy and as a pdf.
  - sent electronically to Elise Wilson:
  - Clearly identifiable, pre-marked and numbered individually, and provided in its own electronic pdf file
  - PDF file name must state the cross examination exhibit number so that it clear what the no. of the exhibit is without opening the pdf. Must be clearly and easily identifiable (specific labeling instructions required to be followed).
  - hand delivered to Elise Wilson the morning of/prior to the start of the hearing
  - Ten paper copies of each cross examination exhibit are to be provided to the Commission in sealed envelope(s) delivered to the attention of Elise Wilson.

Finally, I note that Bill DeGrandis' Petition to be Admitted *Pro Hac Vice* is still pending before the Commission. I thought I would ask the Commission to consider and rule on same at the start of the hearing on Monday, and wanted to give you a heads-up about same.

There may be other issues that I have not addressed above. I am around all day if you would like to discuss these matters, and it is my understanding that counsel for the ORS and Duke have some availability.

Thanks!

Jack P.



### Jack Pringle

Partner, CIPP/US

### ADAMS AND REESE LLP

1501 Main Street, 5th Floor | Columbia, SC 29201

**Main** 803.254.4190 | **Direct** 803.343.1270 | **Mobile** 803.479.5764

**eFax** 803.343.1238 | **Fax** 803.779.4749

[jack.pringle@arlaw.com](mailto:jack.pringle@arlaw.com)

[Website](#) | [Bio](#) | [vCard](#) | [Map](#) | [in](#) | [twitter](#) | [f](#) | [youtube](#)

[View our COVID-19 resources.](#)

**From:** Besley, Sharon <[sharon.besley@psc.sc.gov](mailto:sharon.besley@psc.sc.gov)>

**Sent:** Tuesday, July 20, 2021 3:14 PM

**To:** Hall, Roger <[RHall@scconsumer.gov](mailto:RHall@scconsumer.gov)>; Grube-Lybarker, Carri <[clybarker@scconsumer.gov](mailto:clybarker@scconsumer.gov)>; Jack Pringle <[Jack.Pringle@arlaw.com](mailto:Jack.Pringle@arlaw.com)>; Heather Smith <[heather.smith@duke-energy.com](mailto:heather.smith@duke-energy.com)>; Pittman, Jenny <[jpittman@ors.sc.gov](mailto:jpittman@ors.sc.gov)>; fellerbe@robinsongray.com

**Cc:** Wessinger-Hill, JoAnne <[JoAnne.Hill@psc.sc.gov](mailto:JoAnne.Hill@psc.sc.gov)>; PSC\_Contact <[Contact@psc.sc.gov](mailto:Contact@psc.sc.gov)>

**Subject:** RE: Docket 2020-263-E, Cherokee County Cogeneration Partners, LLC, Complainant/Petitioner v. Duke Energy Progress, LLC, and Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC, Defendant/Respondent

To All Parties:

We have only received one response to the virtual media plan survey as requested below. Please provide the information requested at your earliest convenience. We would also appreciate knowing the order in which you plan to call your witnesses. Thank you, Sharon Besley

**SHARON PLYLER BESLEY**  
STAFF ATTORNEY  
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION  
STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
[sharon.besley@psc.sc.gov](mailto:sharon.besley@psc.sc.gov)

The information contained in this e-mail message is public and will be filed in the Commission's Docketing Management System (DMS). Any responsive e-mail message should also be filed by you in the DMS for this matter. If the reader of this message does not want certain information, which is meant to be discussed only between the parties and not with the Public Service Commission of South Carolina (Commission) staff, please do not use "reply all" to this message. Any e-mail message involving the Commission or Commission staff should be copied to all parties of record in the docket.

**From:** Besley, Sharon

**Sent:** Tuesday, July 6, 2021 10:38 AM

**To:** Hall, Roger <[RHall@scconsumer.gov](mailto:RHall@scconsumer.gov)>; Grube-Lybarker, Carri <[clybarker@scconsumer.gov](mailto:clybarker@scconsumer.gov)>; John J. Pringle, Jr. <[jack.pringle@arlaw.com](mailto:jack.pringle@arlaw.com)>; Heather Smith <[heather.smith@duke-energy.com](mailto:heather.smith@duke-energy.com)>; Pittman, Jenny <[jpittman@ors.sc.gov](mailto:jpittman@ors.sc.gov)>; [fellerbe@robinsongray.com](mailto:fellerbe@robinsongray.com)

**Cc:** Wessinger-Hill, JoAnne <[JoAnne.Hill@psc.sc.gov](mailto:JoAnne.Hill@psc.sc.gov)>; PSC\_Contact <[Contact@psc.sc.gov](mailto:Contact@psc.sc.gov)>

**Subject:** Docket 2020-263-E, Cherokee County Cogeneration Partners, LLC, Complainant/Petitioner v. Duke Energy Progress, LLC, and Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC, Defendant/Respondent

Dear Parties:

The virtual hearing in this matter is scheduled for Monday, July 26, 2021, at 10:00 a.m., and continuing through Tuesday, July 27, 2021, if necessary. The purpose of this email is to send you a Virtual Media Plan Survey. Your responses will enable the Commission to establish a connection with you for the hearing. Please use the link below to provide all the necessary information so that we may connect virtually on the day(s) of the hearing. Please provide the email address and telephone number the parties, counselors, and any witnesses will use during the hearing. We must receive your completed survey via the link no later than Tuesday, July 13, 2021, at 4:00 p.m.

The survey for this docket is available at <https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/LDRK99Z>.

If you want to have a test run of your system with that of the Commission, please contact Randy Erskine, Public Service Commission IT Staff, by email ([Randy.Erskine@psc.sc.gov](mailto:Randy.Erskine@psc.sc.gov)) or by telephone (office 803-896-5104 or cell 803- 240-6279). Randy Erskine will then send a WebEx invitation or other information for the parties, counselors and any witnesses to connect virtually for the hearing.

Yours sincerely,

Sharon Plyler Besley

**SHARON PLYLER BESLEY**  
**STAFF ATTORNEY**  
**PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**  
**STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA**  
[sharon.besley@psc.sc.gov](mailto:sharon.besley@psc.sc.gov)

The information contained in this e-mail message is public and will be filed in the Commission's Docketing Management System (DMS). Any responsive e-mail message should also be filed by you in the DMS for this matter. If the reader of this message does not want certain information, which is meant to be discussed only between the parties and not with the Public Service Commission of South Carolina (Commission) staff, please do not use "reply all" to this message. Any e-mail message involving the Commission or Commission staff should be copied to all parties of record in the docket.